

# Gamma-convergence of nonlocal perimeter functionals

Luigi Ambrosio\*  
Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa  
l.ambrosio@sns.it

Guido De Philippis  
Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa  
guido.dephilippis@sns.it

Luca Martinazzi†  
Centro De Giorgi, Pisa  
luca.martinazzi@sns.it

July 21, 2010

## 1 Introduction

For a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , and a connected open set  $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz boundary (or simply  $\Omega = (a, b) \Subset \mathbb{R}$  if  $n = 1$ ), we consider the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega) := \mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega) + \mathcal{J}_s^2(E, \Omega),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega) &:= \int_{E \cap \Omega} \int_{E^c \cap \Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy, \\ \mathcal{J}_s^2(E, \Omega) &:= \int_{E \cap \Omega} \int_{E^c \cap \Omega^c} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy + \int_{E \cap \Omega^c} \int_{E^c \cap \Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The functional  $\mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega)$  can be thought of as a fractional perimeter of  $E$  in  $\Omega$  which is non-local in the sense that it is not determined by the behaviour of  $E$  in a neighbourhood of  $\partial E \cap \Omega$ , and which can be finite even if the Hausdorff dimension of  $\partial E$  is  $n - s > n - 1$ . Notice that the term  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega)$  is simply half of the fractional Sobolev space seminorm  $|\chi_E|_{W^{s,1}(\Omega)}$ , where  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$ . Roughly speaking this term represents the  $(n - s)$ -dimensional fractional perimeter of  $E$  *inside*  $\Omega$ , while  $\mathcal{J}_s^2$  is the contribution near  $\partial\Omega$ . This can be made precise when letting  $s \uparrow 1$ . We also recall the following elementary scaling property:

$$\mathcal{J}_s^i(\lambda E, \lambda \Omega) = \lambda^{n-s} \mathcal{J}_s^i(E, \Omega) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0, i = 1, 2. \quad (1)$$

This functional has already been investigated by several authors. In [15] Visintin studied some basic properties of  $\mathcal{J}_s$ , and in particular he showed that  $\mathcal{J}_s$  satisfies a suitable co-area formula, see Lemma 10 below. Caffarelli, Roquejoffre and Savin [4] studied the behavior of minimizers of  $\mathcal{J}_s$ , proving that if  $E$  is a local minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, \Omega)$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega) \leq \mathcal{J}_s(F, \Omega) \quad \text{whenever } E \Delta F \Subset \Omega,$$

---

\*Supported by the ERC ADG Grant GeMeTneES

†Supported by the Swiss National Fond Grant no. PBEZP2-129520.

then  $(\partial E) \cap \Omega$  is of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$  up to a set of Hausdorff codimension in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at least 2.

As it is well-known (see for instance [10] and the references therein), for minimizers  $E$  of the classical De Giorgi's perimeter, which we shall denote  $P(E, \Omega)$ , the regularity results are stronger. The boundary of a local minimizer  $E$  of  $P(\cdot, \Omega)$  is analytic if  $n \leq 7$ , it has (at most) isolated singularities when  $n = 8$  and it is analytic up to a set of codimension at least 8 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if  $n \geq 9$ . This suggests that the results of [4] might not be optimal for  $s$  close to 1. Motivated by this, Caffarelli and Valdinoci [5] studied the limiting properties of minimal sets for the  $s$ -perimeter as  $s \rightarrow 1^-$ .

Partly motivated by their work, we make a complete analysis of the limiting properties, in the sense of  $\Gamma$ -convergence, of  $\mathcal{J}_s$  as  $s \rightarrow 1^-$ , under no other assumption than the measurability of the sets considered. Our proofs differ in particular from those in [5] because they do not rely on uniform (as  $s \rightarrow 1^-$ ) regularity estimates on  $s$ -minimal boundaries borrowed from [4]. The only result we need from [4], in the proof of our Lemma 14, is the local minimality of halfspaces, whose proof is reproduced in the appendix.

We start by proving a coercivity result.

**Theorem 1 (Equi-coercivity)** *Assume that  $s_i \uparrow 1$  and that  $E_i$  are measurable sets satisfying*

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega') < \infty \quad \forall \Omega' \Subset \Omega.$$

*Then  $(E_i)$  is relatively compact in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ , any limit point  $E$  has locally finite perimeter in  $\Omega$ .*

Notice the scaling factor  $(1 - s)$ , which accounts for the fact that  $\mathcal{J}_1^1(E, \Omega) = +\infty$  unless  $E \subset \Omega^c$ , or  $\Omega \subset E$ , as already shown by Brézis [3].

Let  $\omega_k$  denote the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  for  $k \geq 1$ , and set  $\omega_0 := 1$ .

**Theorem 2 ( $\Gamma$ -convergence)** *For every measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma - \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1 - s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega) &\geq \omega_{n-1} P(E, \Omega), \\ \Gamma - \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1 - s) \mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega) &\leq \omega_{n-1} P(E, \Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

*with respect to the local convergence in measure, i.e. the  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$  convergence of the corresponding characteristic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

We recall that (2) means that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega) \geq \omega_{n-1} P(E, \Omega) \quad \text{whenever } \chi_{E_i} \rightarrow \chi_E \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \ s_i \uparrow 1,$$

and that for every measurable set  $E$  and sequence  $s_i \uparrow 1$  there exists a sequence  $E_i$  with  $\chi_{E_i} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, \Omega) \leq \omega_{n-1} P(E, \Omega).$$

We finally show that as  $s \uparrow 1$  local minimizers converge to local minimizers, where by a local minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, \Omega)$  we mean a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega) \leq \mathcal{J}_s(F, \Omega)$  whenever  $E \Delta F \Subset \Omega$ . Notice that if  $E$  is a local minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, \Omega)$  and  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ , then  $E$  is also a local minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, \Omega')$ . A similar definition holds for  $P(\cdot, \Omega)$ .

**Theorem 3 (Convergence of local minimizers)** Assume that  $s_i \uparrow 1$ ,  $E_i$  are local minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_{s_i}(\cdot, \Omega)$ , and  $\chi_{E_i} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, \Omega') < +\infty \quad \forall \Omega' \Subset \Omega, \quad (3)$$

$E$  is a local minimizer of  $P(\cdot, \Omega)$  and  $(1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, \Omega') \rightarrow \omega_{n-1} P(E, \Omega')$  whenever  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  and  $P(E, \partial\Omega') = 0$ .

We point out that  $\Gamma$ -convergence results for functionals reminiscent of  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(\cdot, \mathbb{R}^n)$  have been proven in [13], [14].

We fix some notation used throughout the paper:

- we write  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as  $(x', x_n)$  with  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- we denote by  $H$  the halfspace  $\{x : x_n \leq 0\}$  and by  $Q = (-1/2, 1/2)^n$  the canonical unit cube;
- we denote by  $B_r(x)$  the ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$  and, unless otherwise specified,  $B_r := B_r(0)$ .
- for every  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and function  $u$  defined on  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we set  $\tau_h u(x) := u(x+h)$  for all  $x \in U - h$ .

For the definition and basic properties of the perimeter  $P(E, \Omega)$  in the sense of De Giorgi we refer to the monographs [1] and [10].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is a direct consequence of the Frechet-Kolmogorov compactness criterion in  $L^p_{\text{loc}}$  (applied with  $p = 1$ ), ensuring pre-compactness of any family  $\mathcal{G} \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{G}} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1(\Omega')} = 0 \quad \forall \Omega' \Subset \Omega,$$

and of the following pointwise upper bound on  $\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1}$ : for all  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $A \Subset \Omega$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|h| < \text{dist}(A, \partial\Omega)/2$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1(A)} \leq C(n) |h|^s (1 - s) \mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega) := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy. \quad (5)$$

The functional  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is obviously related to  $\mathcal{J}_s^1$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_s(\chi_E, \Omega) = 2\mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega).$$

The upper bound (4) is a direct consequence of Proposition 4 below, whose proof can be found in [11]. Since the inequality is not explicitly stated in [11], we repeat it for the reader's convenience.

**Proposition 4** For all  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $A \Subset \Omega$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\frac{\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1(A)}}{|h|^s} \leq C(n) (1 - s) \int_{B_{|h|}} \frac{\|\tau_{\xi} u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})}}{|\xi|^{n+s}} d\xi \quad (6)$$

whenever  $0 < |h| < \text{dist}(A, \partial\Omega)/2$ , and  $A_{|h|} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, A) < |h|\}$ .

We start with two preliminary results.

**Proposition 5** *Let  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A \Subset \Omega$  open with  $|h| < \text{dist}(A, \partial\Omega)/2$ . Then for any  $z \in (0, |h|]$  we have:*

$$\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1(A)} \leq C(n) \frac{|h|}{z^{n+1}} \int_{B_z} \|\tau_\xi u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})} d\xi, \quad (7)$$

where  $A_{|h|}$  is as in Proposition 4.

*Proof.* Fix a non-negative function  $\varphi \in C_c^1(B_1)$  with  $\int_{B_1} \varphi dx = 1$ . For  $x \in A$  and  $z \in (0, |h|]$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{1}{z^n} \int_{B_z} u(x+y) \varphi\left(\frac{y}{z}\right) dy + \frac{1}{z^n} \int_{B_z} (u(x) - u(x+y)) \varphi\left(\frac{y}{z}\right) dy \\ &=: U(x, z) + V(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$|u(x+h) - u(x)| \leq |U(x+h, z) - U(x, z)| + |V(x+h, z)| + |V(x, z)|. \quad (8)$$

The second and third terms can be easily estimated as follows:

$$|V(x+h, z)| + |V(x, z)| \leq \frac{\sup |\varphi|}{z^n} \int_{B_z} \{|\tau_y u(x) - u(x)| + |\tau_y u(x+h) - u(x+h)|\} dy.$$

For the first one instead notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x U(x, z) &= -\frac{1}{z^{n+1}} \int_{B_z(x)} u(y) \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{y-x}{z}\right) dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{z^{n+1}} \int_{B_z(x)} (u(y) - u(x)) \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{y-x}{z}\right) dy \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |U(x+h, z) - U(x, z)| &\leq |h| \int_0^1 |\nabla_x U(x+sh, z)| ds \\ &\leq \sup |\nabla \varphi| \frac{|h|}{z^{n+1}} \int_0^1 \int_{B_z} |u(y+x+sh) - u(x+sh)| dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Notice now that  $z \leq |h|$  and so  $1 \leq |h|/z$ , hence from (8) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x+h) - u(x)| &\leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{z^n} \int_{B_z} |\tau_y u(x) - u(x)| + |\tau_y u(x+h) - u(x+h)| dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|h|}{z^{n+1}} \int_0^1 \int_{B_z} |u(y+x+sh) - u(x+sh)| dy ds \right\} \\ &\leq C \frac{|h|}{z^{n+1}} \left\{ \int_{B_z} |\tau_y u(x) - u(x)| + |\tau_y u(x+h) - u(x+h)| dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \int_{B_z} |\tau_y u(x+sh) - u(x+sh)| dy ds \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $C = \sup |\varphi| + \sup |\nabla \varphi|$ . Integrating both sides over  $A$  we infer (7) with  $C(n) = 3C$ .  $\square$

Recall now the following version of Hardy's inequality:

**Proposition 6** *Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a Borel function, then for every  $s > 0$  we have*

$$\int_0^r \frac{1}{\xi^{n+s+1}} \int_0^\xi g(t) dt d\xi \leq \frac{1}{n+s} \int_0^r \frac{g(t)}{t^{n+s}} dt \quad \forall r \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{1}{\xi^{n+s+1}} \int_0^\xi g(t) dt d\xi &= \int_0^r g(t) \int_t^r \frac{1}{\xi^{n+s+1}} d\xi dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n+s} \int_0^r g(t) \left( \frac{1}{t^{n+s}} - \frac{1}{r^{n+s}} \right) dt \leq \frac{1}{n+s} \int_0^r \frac{g(t)}{t^{n+s}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.* Multiply both sides of (7) by  $z^{-s}$  and integrate with respect to  $z$  between 0 and  $|h|$  to obtain

$$\frac{|h|^{(1-s)}}{(1-s)} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1(A)} \leq C(n) |h| \int_0^{|h|} \frac{1}{z^{n+s+1}} \int_{B_z} \|\tau_\xi u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})} d\xi dz.$$

Now apply inequality (9) with

$$g(t) := \int_{\partial B_t} \|\tau_\xi u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{|h|} \frac{1}{z^{n+s+1}} \int_{B_z} \|\tau_\xi u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})} d\xi dz &= \int_0^{|h|} \frac{1}{z^{n+s+1}} \int_0^z g(t) dt dz \\ &\leq C(n) \int_0^{|h|} \frac{1}{t^{n+s}} g(t) dt = C(n) \int_{B_{|h|}} \frac{\|\tau_\xi u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})}}{|\xi|^{n+s}} d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Putting all together

$$\frac{\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^1(A)}}{(1-s)} \leq C(n) |h|^s \int_{B_{|h|}} \frac{\|\tau_\xi u - u\|_{L^1(A_{|h|})}}{|\xi|^{n+s}} d\xi$$

and the thesis follows.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

In the proof of the liminf inequality we shall adapt to this framework the blow-up technique introduced, for the first time in the context of lower semicontinuity, by Fonseca and Müller in [9]. The proof of the limsup inequality, which is typically constructive and by density, is slightly different from the analogous results in [5], since we approximate with polyhedra, rather than  $C^{1,\alpha}$  sets. Notice also that the natural strategies in the proof of the liminf and limsup inequalities produce constants  $\Gamma_n$ , see (11), and  $\Gamma_n^* \geq \Gamma_n$ , see (17); our final task will be to show that they both coincide with  $\omega_{n-1}$ .

#### 3.1 The $\Gamma$ – lim inf inequality

Let us define

$$\Gamma_n := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, Q) \mid \chi_{E_s} \rightarrow \chi_H \text{ in } L^1(Q) \right\}. \quad (11)$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the family of all  $n$ -cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{C} := \{R(x + rQ) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0, R \in SO(n)\}.$$

**Lemma 7** *Given  $s_i \uparrow 1$  and sets  $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\chi_{E_i} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , one has*

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega) \geq \Gamma_n P(E, \Omega). \quad (12)$$

We can assume that the left-hand side of (12) is finite, otherwise the inequality is trivial. Then, passing to the limit as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in (6) with  $s = s_i$  we get

$$\|\tau_h \chi_E - \chi_E\|_{L^1(\Omega')} \leq C(n) |h| \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega) \quad \forall \Omega' \Subset \Omega$$

whenever  $|h| < \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)/2$ , hence  $E$  has finite perimeter in  $\Omega$ .

We shall denote by  $\mu$  the perimeter measure of  $E$ , i.e.  $\mu(A) = |D\chi_E|(A)$  for any Borel set  $A \subset \Omega$ , and we shall use the following property of sets of finite perimeter: for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  there exists  $R_x \in SO(n)$  such that  $(E - x)/r$  locally converge in measure to  $R_x H$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . In addition,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(x + rR_x Q)}{r^{n-1}} = 1, \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x. \quad (13)$$

Indeed this property holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{F}E$ , where  $\mathcal{F}E$  denotes the reduced boundary of  $E$ , see Theorem 3.59(b) in [1].

Now, given a cube  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  contained in  $\Omega$  we set

$$\alpha_i(C) := (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, C)$$

and

$$\alpha(C) := \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(C).$$

We claim that, setting  $C_r(x) := x + rR_xQ$ , where  $R_x$  is as in (13), for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x$  we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(C_r(x))}{\mu(C_r(x))} \geq \Gamma_n \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (14)$$

Then observing that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  the family

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ C_r(x) \subset \Omega : (1 + \varepsilon)\alpha(C_r(x)) \geq \Gamma_n \mu(C_r(x)) \right\}$$

is a fine covering of  $\mu$ -almost all of  $\Omega$ , by a suitable variant of Vitali's theorem (see [12]) we can extract a countable subfamily of disjoint cubes

$$\{C_j \subset \Omega : j \in J\}$$

such that  $\mu(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} C_j) = 0$ , whence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n P(E, \Omega) &= \Gamma_n \mu\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} C_j\right) = \Gamma_n \sum_{j \in J} \mu(C_j) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{j \in J} \alpha(C_j) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_i(C_j) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used that  $\mathcal{J}_s^1$  is superadditive and positive for every  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we get the  $\Gamma$ -lim inf estimate.

We now prove the inequality in (14) at any point  $x$  such that  $(E - x)/r$  converges locally in measure as  $r \rightarrow 0$  to  $R_x H$  and (13) holds. Because of (13), we need to show that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(C_r(x))}{r^{n-1}} \geq \Gamma_n. \quad (15)$$

Since from now on  $x$  is fixed, we can assume with no loss of generality (by rotation invariance) that  $R_x = I$ , so that the limit hyperplane is  $H$  and the cubes  $C_r(x)$  are the standard ones  $x + rQ$ . Let us choose a sequence  $r_k \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(C_r(x))}{r^{n-1}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(C_{r_k}(x))}{r_k^{n-1}}.$$

For  $k > 0$  we can choose  $i(k)$  so large that the following conditions hold:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_{i(k)}(C_{r_k}(x)) \leq \alpha(C_{r_k}(x)) + r_k^n, \\ r_k^{1-s_{i(k)}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{k}, \\ \int_{C_{r_k}(x)} |\chi_{E_{i(k)}} - \chi_E| dx < \frac{1}{k}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha(C_{r_k}(x))}{r_k^{n-1}} &\geq \frac{\alpha_{i(k)}(C_{r_k}(x))}{r_k^{n-1}} - r_k \\
&= \frac{(1 - s_{i(k)})\mathcal{J}_{s_{i(k)}}^1((E_{i(k)} - x)/r_k, Q)r_k^{n-s_{i(k)}}}{r_k^{n-1}} - r_k \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)(1 - s_{i(k)})\mathcal{J}_{s_{i(k)}}^1((E_{i(k)} - x)/r_k, Q) - r_k,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(C_{r_k}(x))}{r_k^{n-1}} \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_{i(k)})\mathcal{J}_{s_{i(k)}}^1((E_{i(k)} - x)/r_k, Q).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q |\chi_{(E_{i(k)} - x)/r_k} - \chi_{(E - x)/r_k}| dx = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q |\chi_{(E - x)/r_k} - \chi_H| dx = 0.$$

It follows that  $(E_{i(k)} - x)/r_k \rightarrow H$  in  $L^1(Q)$ . Recalling the definition of  $\Gamma_n$  we conclude the proof of (15) and of Lemma 7.

### 3.2 The $\Gamma$ – lim sup inequality

It is enough to prove the  $\Gamma$  – lim sup inequality for a collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of sets of finite perimeter which is dense in energy, i.e. such that for every set  $E$  of finite perimeter there exists  $E_k \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\chi_{E_k} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\limsup_k P(E_k, \Omega) = P(E, \Omega)$ . Indeed, let  $d$  be a distance inducing the  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$  convergence and, for a set  $E$  of finite perimeter, let  $E_k$  be as above. Given  $s_k \uparrow 1$ , we can find sets  $\hat{E}_k$  with  $d(\chi_{\hat{E}_k}, \chi_{E_k}) < 1/k$  and

$$(1 - s_k)\mathcal{J}_{s_k}(\hat{E}_k, \Omega) \leq \Gamma_n^* P(E_k, \Omega) + \frac{1}{k}.$$

Then we have  $\chi_{\hat{E}_k} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_k)\mathcal{J}_{s_k}(\hat{E}_k, \Omega) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n^* P(E_k, \Omega) = \Gamma_n^* P(E, \Omega).$$

We shall take  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the collection of polyhedra  $\Pi$  which satisfy  $P(\Pi, \partial\Omega) = 0$  (i.e. with faces transversal to  $\partial\Omega$ , see Proposition 15). Equivalently,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P(\Pi, \Omega_\delta^+ \cup \Omega_\delta^-) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_\delta^+ &:= \{x \in \Omega^c \mid d(x, \Omega) < \delta\} \\
\Omega_\delta^- &:= \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \Omega^c) < \delta\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In fact, we have:



**Lemma 8** For a polyhedron  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  there holds

$$\limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s(\Pi, \Omega) \leq \Gamma_n^* P(\Pi, \Omega) + 2\Gamma_n^* \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} P(\Pi, \Omega_\delta^+ \cup \Omega_\delta^-),$$

where

$$\Gamma_n^* := \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q). \quad (17)$$

*Proof. Step 1.* We first estimate  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(\Pi, \Omega)$ . For a fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  set

$$(\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon := \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial\Pi) < \varepsilon\}, \quad (\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^- := (\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon \cap \Pi.$$

We can find  $N_\varepsilon$  disjoint cubes  $Q_i^\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N_\varepsilon$ , of side length  $\varepsilon$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i) if  $\tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon$  denotes the dilation of  $Q_i^\varepsilon$  by a factor  $(1+\varepsilon)$ , then each cube  $\tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon$  intersects exactly one face  $\Sigma$  of  $\partial\Pi$ , its barycenter belongs to  $\Sigma$  and each of its sides is either parallel or orthogonal to  $\Sigma$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\left((\partial\Pi) \cap \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon\right) = |P(\Pi, \Omega) - N_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{n-1}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  set

$$I_s(x) := \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}}.$$

We consider several cases.

*Case 1:*  $x \in (\Pi \cap \Omega) \setminus (\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^-$ . Then for  $y \in \Pi^c \cap \Omega$  we have  $|x-y| \geq \varepsilon$ , hence

$$I_s(x) \leq \int_{(B_\varepsilon(x))^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy = n\omega_n \int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{1}{\rho^{s+1}} d\rho = \frac{n\omega_n}{s\varepsilon^s},$$

since  $n\omega_n = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ . Therefore

$$\int_{(\Pi \cap \Omega) \setminus (\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^-} I_s(x) dx \leq \frac{n\omega_n \mathcal{L}^n(\Pi \cap \Omega)}{s\varepsilon^s}. \quad (18)$$

*Case 2:*  $x \in (\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^- \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon$ . Then

$$I_s(x) \leq \int_{(B_{d(x, \Pi^c \cap \Omega)}(x))^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy = n\omega_n \int_{d(x, \Pi^c \cap \Omega)}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho^{s-1}} d\rho = \frac{n\omega_n}{s[d(x, \Pi^c \cap \Omega)]^s}. \quad (19)$$

Now write  $(\partial\Pi) \cap \Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^J \Sigma_j$ , where each  $\Sigma_j$  is the intersection of a face of  $\partial\Pi$  with  $\Omega$ , and define

$$(\partial\Pi)_{\varepsilon,j}^- := \{x \in (\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^- : \text{dist}(x, \Pi^c \cap \Omega) = \text{dist}(x, \Sigma_j)\}.$$

Clearly  $(\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^- = \bigcup_{j=1}^J (\partial\Pi)_{\varepsilon,j}^-$ . Moreover we have

$$(\partial\Pi)_{\varepsilon,j}^- \subset \{x + t\nu : x \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon,j}, t \in (0, \varepsilon), \nu \text{ is the interior unit normal to } \Sigma_{\varepsilon,j}\},$$

and  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon,j}$  is the set of points  $x$  belonging to the same hyperplane as  $\Sigma_j$  and with  $\text{dist}(x, \Sigma_j) \leq \varepsilon$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon,j}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_j) + C\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then from (19) we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{(\partial\Pi)_\varepsilon^- \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon} I_s(x) dx &\leq \frac{n\omega_n}{s} \sum_{j=1}^J \int_{(\partial\Pi)_{\varepsilon,j}^- \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{[d(x, \Pi^c)]^s} dx \\
&\leq \frac{n\omega_n}{s} \sum_{j=1}^J \int_{(\partial\Pi)_{\varepsilon,j}^- \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{[d(x, \Sigma_{\varepsilon,j})]^s} dx \\
&\leq \frac{n\omega_n}{s} \sum_{j=1}^J \int_{(\Sigma_{\varepsilon,j}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon} \left( \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{dt}{t^s} \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
&= \frac{n\omega_n \varepsilon^{1-s}}{s(1-s)} \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \left( \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^J \Sigma_{\varepsilon,j} \right) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon \right) = \frac{\varepsilon^{1-s} o(1)}{s(1-s)},
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with error  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and independent of  $s$ .

*Case 3:*  $x \in \Pi \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon$ . In this case we write

$$\begin{aligned}
I_s(x) &= \int_{(\Pi^c \cap \Omega) \cap \{y: |x-y| \geq \varepsilon^2\}} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} + \int_{(\Pi^c \cap \Omega) \cap \{y: |x-y| < \varepsilon^2\}} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\
&=: I_s^1(x) + I_s^2(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, similar to the case 1,

$$I_s^1(x) \leq n\omega_n \int_{\varepsilon^2}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho^{s+1}} d\rho = \frac{n\omega_n}{s\varepsilon^{2s}},$$

hence (since all cubes are contained in  $\Omega$ )

$$\int_{\Pi \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon} I_s^1(x) dx \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega) n\omega_n}{s\varepsilon^{2s}}. \tag{21}$$

As for  $I_s^2(x)$  observe that if  $x \in Q_i^\varepsilon$  and  $|x-y| \leq \varepsilon^2$ , then  $y \in \tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon$ , where  $\tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon$  is the cube obtained by dilating  $Q_i^\varepsilon$  by a factor  $1 + \varepsilon$  (hence the side length of  $\tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon$  is  $\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Pi \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} Q_i^\varepsilon} I_s^2(x) dx &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} \int_{\Pi \cap Q_i^\varepsilon} \int_{\Pi \cap \tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} \int_{\Pi \cap \tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon} \int_{\Pi \cap \tilde{Q}_i^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy dx \\
&= N_\varepsilon \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, (\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)Q) = N_\varepsilon (\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^{n-s} \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q),
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where in the last identity we used the scaling property (1). Keeping  $\varepsilon > 0$  fixed, letting  $s$  go to 1 and putting (18)-(22) together we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(\Pi, \Omega) &\leq o(1) + \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q) N_\varepsilon (\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^{n-1} \\
&= o(1) + \Gamma_n^* P(\Pi, \Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

with error  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $s$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude

$$\limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(\Pi, \Omega) \leq \Gamma_n^* P(\Pi, \Omega).$$

*Step 2.* It now remains to estimate  $\mathcal{J}_s^2$ . Let us start by considering the term

$$\int_{\Pi \cap \Omega} \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy dx.$$

*Case 1:*  $x \in \Pi \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta^-)$ . Then for  $y \in \Pi^c \cap \Omega^c$  we have  $|x-y| \geq \delta$ , whence

$$I(x) := \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega^c} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \leq n\omega_n \int_\delta^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{1+s}} = \frac{n\omega_n}{s\delta^s}.$$

*Case 2:*  $x \in \Pi \cap \Omega_\delta^-$ . In this case, using the same argument of case 1 for  $y \in \Pi^c \cap (\Omega^c \setminus \Omega_\delta^+)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) &= \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega_\delta^+} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} + \int_{\Pi^c \cap (\Omega^c \setminus \Omega_\delta^+)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\ &\leq \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega_\delta^+} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{n+s}} + \frac{n\omega_n}{s\delta^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Pi \cap \Omega} \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega^c} \frac{dy dx}{|x-y|^{n+s}} &\leq \frac{2n\omega_n |\Omega|}{s\delta^s} + \int_{\Pi \cap \Omega_\delta^-} \int_{\Pi^c \cap \Omega_\delta^+} \frac{dy dx}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\ &\leq \frac{2n\omega_n |\Omega|}{s\delta^s} + \int_{\Pi \cap (\Omega_\delta^- \cup \Omega_\delta^+)} \int_{\Pi^c \cap (\Omega_\delta^- \cup \Omega_\delta^+)} \frac{dy dx}{|x-y|^{n+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

An obvious similar estimate can be obtained by swapping  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi^c$ , finally yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_s^2(\Pi, \Omega) &\leq \frac{4n\omega_n |\Omega|}{s\delta^s} + 2 \int_{\Pi \cap (\Omega_\delta^- \cup \Omega_\delta^+)} \int_{\Pi^c \cap (\Omega_\delta^- \cup \Omega_\delta^+)} \frac{dy dx}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\ &= \frac{4n\omega_n |\Omega|}{s\delta^s} + 2\mathcal{J}_s^1(\Pi, \Omega_\delta^- \cup \Omega_\delta^+). \end{aligned}$$

Using the result of step 1 we get

$$\limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^2(\Pi, \Omega) \leq 2\Gamma_n^* P(\Pi, \Omega_\delta^- \cup \Omega_\delta^+).$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, letting  $\delta$  go to zero we conclude the proof of the lemma. □

**Lemma 9 (Characterization of  $\Gamma_n^*$ )** *The limsup in (17) is a limit and  $\Gamma_n^* = \omega_{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is inspired from [5, Lemma 11]. We shall actually prove a slightly stronger statement. Set for  $a > 0$

$$Q_a := \{x : |x_i| \leq 1/2 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, |x_n| \leq a\}.$$

Then we show that

$$\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q_a) = \omega_{n-1}, \quad \forall a > 0.$$

Let us first consider the case  $n \geq 2$ . Fix  $x \in Q_a \cap H$  and write as usual  $x = (x', x_n)$ ,  $y = (y', y_n)$ . We consider

$$I_s(x) := \int_{Q_a \cap H^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy = \int_0^a \int_{Q_a \cap \partial H} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy' dy_n.$$

With the change of variable  $z' = (y' - x')/|y_n - x_n|$  and setting

$$\Sigma(x, y_n) := \left\{ z' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \left| z'_i + \frac{x'_i}{|x_n - y_n|} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2|x_n - y_n|} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \right\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(x) &= \int_0^a \int_{\Sigma(x, y_n)} \frac{1}{|x_n - y_n|^{s+1} (1 + |z'|^2)^{(n+s)/2}} dz' dy_n \\ &\leq \int_0^a \frac{1}{|x_n - y_n|^{s+1}} dy_n \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z'|^2)^{(n+s)/2}} dz' \\ &= \frac{(-x_n)^{-s} - (a - x_n)^{-s}}{s} \cdot (n-1) \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{n-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{(n+s)/2}} d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now integrating  $I$  with respect to  $x$ , observing that  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Q_a \cap \partial H) = 1$  and that by dominated convergence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \uparrow 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{n-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{(n+s)/2}} d\rho &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{n-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{(n+1)/2}} d\rho \\ &= \left[ \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{(n-1)(1 + \rho^2)^{(n-1)/2}} \right]_0^\infty = \frac{1}{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H \cap Q_a} I_s(x) dx &\leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Q_a \cap \partial H) \sup_{x' \in Q_a \cap \partial H} \int_{-a}^0 I_s(x', x_n) dx_n \\ &\leq \omega_{n-1} (1 + o(1)) \int_{-a}^0 \frac{(-x_n)^{-s} - (a - x_n)^{-s}}{s} dx_n \\ &= \frac{\omega_{n-1} (1 + o(1)) a^{1-s} (2 - 2^{1-s})}{s(1-s)}, \end{aligned}$$

with error  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \uparrow 1$  dependent only on  $s$ . Therefore

$$\limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q_a) = \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{H \cap Q_a} I_s(x) dx \leq \omega_{n-1}. \tag{25}$$

Now observing that for  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$|x_n| \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad |y_n| \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad |x_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad (26)$$

implies that  $B_{1/(2\varepsilon)}(0) \subset \Sigma(x, y_n)$ , similar to (23) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(x) &\geq \int_0^{\varepsilon^2} \int_{Q \cap \partial H} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dy' dy_n \\ &\geq \int_0^{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_{1/(2\varepsilon)}(0)} \frac{1}{|x_n - y_n|^{s+1} (1 + |z'|^2)^{(n+s)/2}} dz' dy_n \\ &= \frac{(-x_n)^{-s} - (\varepsilon^2 - x_n)^{-s}}{s} \cdot (n-1)\omega_{n-1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}} \frac{\rho^{n-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{(n+s)/2}} d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $x$  is as in (26). Integrating with respect to  $x$  satisfying (26) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H \cap Q_a} I_s(x) dx &\geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)^{n-1} \int_{-\varepsilon^2}^0 \frac{(-x_n)^{-s} - (\varepsilon^2 - x_n)^{-s}}{s} dx_n \\ &\quad \times (n-1)\omega_{n-1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}} \frac{\rho^{n-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{(n+s)/2}} d\rho \\ &= \frac{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}(1 - 2\varepsilon)^{n-1}\varepsilon^{2(1-s)}(2 - 2^{1-s})}{s(1-s)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}} \frac{\rho^{n-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{(n+s)/2}} d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Letting first  $s \uparrow 1$  and then  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and using (24) again we conclude

$$\liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q_a) \geq \omega_{n-1},$$

which together with (25) completes the proof when  $n \geq 2$ .

When  $n = 1$  one computes explicitly

$$\mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q_a) = \int_{-a}^0 \int_0^a \frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+s}} dy dx = \int_{-a}^0 \frac{(-x)^{-s} - (a-x)^{-s}}{s} dx = \frac{a^{1-s}(2 - 2^{1-s})}{s(1-s)},$$

hence

$$\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q_a) = 1 = \omega_0.$$

□

### 3.3 Gluing construction and characterization of the geometric constants

A key observation in [15], which we shall need, is that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies a generalized coarea formula, namely  $\mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{F}_s(\chi_{\{u>t\}}, \Omega) dt$ ; we reproduce here the simple proof of this fact and we state the result in terms of  $\mathcal{J}_s$ .

**Lemma 10 (Coarea formula)** *For every measurable function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_s^1(\{u > t\}, \Omega) dt.$$

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in \Omega$ , the function  $t \mapsto \chi_{\{u>t\}}(x) - \chi_{\{u>t\}}(y)$  takes its values in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  and it is nonzero precisely in the interval having  $u(x)$  and  $u(y)$  as extreme points, hence

$$|u(x) - u(y)| = \int_0^1 |\chi_{\{u>t\}}(x) - \chi_{\{u>t\}}(y)| dt.$$

Substituting into (5), using Fubini's theorem and observing that

$$|\chi_{\{u>t\}}(x) - \chi_{\{u>t\}}(y)| = \chi_{\{u>t\}}(x)\chi_{\Omega \setminus \{u>t\}}(y) + \chi_{\Omega \setminus \{u>t\}}(x)\chi_{\{u>t\}}(y),$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{|\chi_{\{u>t\}}(x) - \chi_{\{u>t\}}(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dt dx dy \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \int_{\{u>t\}} \int_{\Omega \setminus \{u>t\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_s^1(\{u > t\}, \Omega) dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 11 (Gluing)** *Given  $s \in (0, 1)$ , measurable sets  $E_1, E_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(E_i, \Omega) < \infty$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and given  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$  we can find a measurable set  $F$  such that*

$$(a) \quad \|\chi_F - \chi_{E_1}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\chi_{E_1} - \chi_{E_2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

$$(b) \quad F \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}) = E_1 \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}), \quad F \cap \Omega_{\delta_2} = E_2 \cap \Omega_{\delta_2}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Omega_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \Omega^c) \leq \delta\} \quad \text{for } \delta > 0,$$

(c) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_s^1(F, \Omega) &\leq \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_1, \Omega) + \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_2, \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}) + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{n+s}} \\ &\quad + C(\Omega, \delta_1, \delta_2) \left[ \frac{\|\chi_{E_1} - \chi_{E_2}\|_{L^1(\Omega_{\delta_1} \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2})}}{(1-s)} + \|\chi_{E_1} - \chi_{E_2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider a function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega_{\delta_2}$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 1$  in  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}$ , and  $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 2/(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$ .

Given two measurable functions  $u, v : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega) < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_s(v, \Omega) < \infty$ , define  $w : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as  $w := \varphi u + (1 - \varphi)v$ . For  $x, y \in \Omega$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) - w(y) &= (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))u(y) + \varphi(x)(u(x) - u(y)) \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(x))(v(x) - v(y)) - v(y)(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\ &= (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(u(y) - v(y)) + \varphi(x)(u(x) - u(y)) \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(x))(v(x) - v(y)), \end{aligned}$$

and infer

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x) - w(y)| &\leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)||u(y) - v(y)| \\ &\quad + \chi_{\{\varphi \neq 0\}}(x)|u(x) - u(y)| + \chi_{\{\varphi \neq 1\}}(x)|v(x) - v(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $\{\varphi \neq 0\} \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2}$  and  $\{\varphi \neq 1\} \subset \Omega_{\delta_1}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_s(w, \Omega) &\leq \int_{\Omega} |u(y) - v(y)| \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega_{\delta_1}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq \|\nabla \varphi(y)\| |x - y| + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{\infty} |x - y|^2$$

and the inequalities  $\int_{\Omega} |x - y|^{-(n+s-\alpha)} dx \leq C(\Omega)/(\alpha - s)$  (with  $\alpha = 1, \alpha = 2$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{\Omega} |u(y) - v(y)| \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|\nabla \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s-1}} + \frac{\|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{\infty}}{2|x - y|^{n+s-2}} \right) dx dy \\ &\leq C(\Omega, \delta_1, \delta_2) \left( \frac{\|u - v\|_{L^1(\Omega_{\delta_1} \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2})}}{1 - s} + \frac{\|u - v\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{(2 - s)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $I_2 \leq \mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega)$ . As for  $I_3$ , choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \int_{\Omega_{\delta_1}} \int_{\Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega_{\delta_1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}_s(v, \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}) + \frac{2\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega_{\delta_1})\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon^{n+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_s(w, \Omega) &\leq \mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega) + \mathcal{F}_s(v, \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}) + C(\Omega, \delta_1, \delta_2) \frac{\|u - v\|_{L^1(\Omega_{\delta_1} \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2})}}{1 - s} \\ &\quad + C(\Omega, \delta_1, \delta_2) \|u - v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{C(\Omega)}{\varepsilon^{n+s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We now apply this with  $u = \chi_{E_1}$ ,  $v = \chi_{E_2}$ , so that (27) reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_s(w, \Omega) &\leq 2\mathcal{J}_s^1(E_1, \Omega) + 2\mathcal{J}_s^1(E_2, \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}) + C(\Omega, \delta_1, \delta_2) \frac{\|\chi_{E_1} - \chi_{E_2}\|_{L^1(\Omega_{\delta_1} \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2})}}{1-s} \\ &\quad + C(\Omega, \delta_1, \delta_2) \|\chi_{E_1} - \chi_{E_2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{C(\Omega)}{\varepsilon^{n+s}}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and by Lemma 10 there exists  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $F := \{w > t\}$  satisfies

$$2\mathcal{J}_s^1(F, \Omega) \leq \mathcal{F}_s(w, \Omega).$$

By construction we see that  $F$  satisfies conditions (a) and (b), and by (28) it follows that also condition (c) is satisfied.  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 11.

**Corollary 12** *Given measurable sets  $E_s \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$ , with  $\chi_{E_s} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  as  $s \uparrow 1$  and with  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, \Omega) < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega) < \infty$ , and given  $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$  we can find measurable sets  $F_s \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

- (a)  $\chi_{F_s} \rightarrow \chi_E$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  as  $s \uparrow 1$ ,
- (b)  $F_s \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}) = E_s \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1})$ ,  $F_s \cap \Omega_{\delta_2} = E \cap \Omega_{\delta_2}$ ,
- (c) for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(F_s, \Omega) \leq \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, \Omega) + \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E, \Omega_{\delta_1+\varepsilon}).$$

We devote the rest of the section to the proof of the equality of the constants  $\Gamma_n$  and  $\Gamma_n^*$  appearing in the proof of the  $\Gamma$ -liminf and  $\Gamma$ -limsup respectively (we already proved that  $\Gamma_n^* = \omega_{n-1}$ ). We shall introduce an intermediate quantity  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \in [\Gamma_n, \Gamma_n^*]$  and prove in two steps that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n = \Gamma_n$  (by the gluing Proposition 11) and then use the local minimality of hyperplanes to show that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n = \Gamma_n^*$ .

**Lemma 13** *We have  $\Gamma_n = \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ , where*

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_n := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, Q) \right\},$$

*with the infimum taken over all families of measurable sets  $(E_s)_{0 < s < 1}$  with the property that  $\chi_{E_s} \rightarrow \chi_H$  in  $L^1(Q)$  as  $s \uparrow 1$  and, for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $E_s \cap Q^\delta = H \cap Q^\delta$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ , where  $Q^\delta = \{x \in Q : d(x, Q^c) < \delta\}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \geq \Gamma_n$ . In order to prove the converse consider sets  $E_s \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$  with  $\chi_{E_s} \rightarrow \chi_H$  in  $L^1(Q)$  as  $s \uparrow 1$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, \Omega) < \infty$



for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Then according to Corollary 12 for any given  $\delta > 0$  we can find a family of measurable sets  $(F_s)_{0 < s < 1}$  such that  $\chi_{F_s} \rightarrow \chi_H$  in  $L^1(Q)$  as  $s \uparrow 1$ ,  $F_s \cap Q^\delta = H \cap Q^\delta$  and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_n \leq \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(F_s, \Omega) \leq \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, Q) + \Gamma_n^* \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} P(H, Q^{\delta+\varepsilon}),$$

where we also used Lemma 8. Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary and  $P(H, Q^\delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  we infer

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_n \leq \liminf_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, Q)$$

and, since  $(E_s)_{0 < s < 1}$  is arbitrary, this proves that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \leq \Gamma_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 14** *We have  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n = \Gamma_n^*$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \leq \Gamma_n^*$ . In order to prove the converse we consider sets  $(E_s)_{0 < s < 1}$  with  $\chi_{E_s} \rightarrow \chi_H$  in  $L^1(Q)$  as  $s \uparrow 1$  and with  $E_s \cap Q^\delta = H \cap Q^\delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  (here  $Q^\delta$  is defined as in Lemma 13). Since our goal is to estimate  $\mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, Q)$  from below, possibly modifying  $E_s$  outside  $Q$  we may assume that

$$E_s \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q) = H \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q). \quad (29)$$

This implies, according to Proposition 17 in the Appendix, that  $\mathcal{J}_s(H, Q) \leq \mathcal{J}_s(E_s, Q)$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Then, in order to prove that

$$\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(H, Q) \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow 1^-} (1-s) \mathcal{J}_s^1(E_s, Q), \quad (30)$$

it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) (\mathcal{J}_s^2(H, Q) - \mathcal{J}_s^2(E_s, Q)) = 0. \quad (31)$$

One immediately sees that (29) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}_s^2(H, Q) - \mathcal{J}_s^2(E_s, Q)| &\leq \int_{(E_s \Delta H) \cap Q} \int_{H^c \cap Q^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{(E_s^c \Delta H^c) \cap Q} \int_{H \cap Q^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dx dy =: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $(E_s \Delta H) \cap Q^\delta = \emptyset$  we can estimate for  $y \in (E_s \Delta H) \cap Q$

$$\int_{H^c \cap Q^c} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta(y)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+s}} dx = \frac{n\omega_n}{s\delta^s},$$

hence  $I \leq n\omega_n/(s\delta^s)$ . One can bound from above  $II$  in the same way. Now (31) follows at once upon multiplying by  $1-s$  and letting  $s \uparrow 1$ . This shows (30), and taking the infimum in (30) over all families  $(E_s)_{0 < s < 1}$  as above shows that  $\Gamma_n^* \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ .  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 3

In order to prove (3) define  $\Omega_\delta$  as in Proposition 11 for some small  $\delta > 0$  and set  $F_i := E_i \cap (\Omega^c \cup \Omega_\delta)$ . By the minimality of  $E_i$  we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, \Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \left( \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, \Omega) - \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega_\delta) \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \left( \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(F_i, \Omega) - \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, \Omega_\delta) \right) \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \left[ \left( \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(F_i, \Omega) - \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(F_i, \Omega_\delta) \right) + \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^2(F_i, \Omega) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_i \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta) = \emptyset$  we have, using Proposition 16 in the appendix,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \left( \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(F_i, \Omega) - \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(F_i, \Omega_\delta) \right) &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta, \Omega) \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \frac{\mathcal{F}_{s_i}(\chi_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta}, \Omega)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{n\omega_n P(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta, \mathbb{R}^n)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again using Proposition 16 in the appendix we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^2(F_i, \Omega) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \leq \frac{n\omega_n P(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)}{2},$$

whence (3) follows for  $\Omega' \subset \Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta$ , hence for every  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ .

For the sake of simplicity we first consider perturbations in compactly supported balls. The general case will require only minor modifications.

Consider the monotone set function  $\alpha_i(A) := (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, A)$  for every open set  $F \subset \Omega$  (see the appendix for the definition and some basic properties of monotone set functions), extended to

$$\alpha_i(F) := \inf \{ \alpha_i(A) : F \subset A \subset \Omega, A \text{ open} \}$$

for every  $F \subset \Omega$ . Clearly  $\alpha_i$  is regular. Thanks to (3) and Theorem 21, up to extracting a subsequence,  $\alpha_i$  weakly converges to a regular monotone set function  $\alpha$ , which is regular and super-additive. We shall now prove that if  $B_R(x) \Subset \Omega$  and  $\alpha(\partial B_R(x)) = 0$ , then  $E$  is a local minimum of the functional  $P(\cdot, B_R(x))$ , and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, B_R(x)) = P(E, B_R(x)).$$

Indeed consider a Borel set  $F \subset \Omega$  such that  $E \Delta F \Subset B_R$  (here and in the following  $x$  is fixed and  $B_r := B_r(x)$  for any  $r > 0$ ). Then we can find  $r < R$  such that  $E \Delta F \subset B_r$ . By Theorem 2 there exist sets  $F_i$  such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |(F_i \Delta F) \cap B_R| = 0, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(F_i, B_R) = \omega_{n-1} P(F, B_R).$$

According to Proposition 11, given  $\rho$  and  $t$  with  $r < \rho < t < R$ , we can find sets  $G_i$  such that

$$G_i = E_i \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_t, \quad G_i = F_i \text{ in } B_\rho,$$

and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(G_i, B_R) &\leq \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(F_i, B_R) + \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_R \setminus \overline{B}_{\rho-\varepsilon}) + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{n+s_i}} \\ &\quad + \frac{C|(E_i \Delta F_i) \cap (B_t \setminus B_\rho)|}{(1-s_i)} + C|(F_i \Delta E_i) \cap B_R|. \end{aligned}$$

By the local minimality of  $E_i$  we infer

$$\mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, B_R) \leq \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(G_i, B_R).$$

We shall now estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^2(G_i, B_R) &= \int_{G_i \cap B_R} \int_{G_i^c \cap B_R^c} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} + \int_{G_i^c \cap B_R} \int_{G_i \cap B_R^c} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} \\ &=: I + II \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{G_i \cap B_R} \int_{E_i^c \cap B_R^c} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} = \int_{G_i \cap B_t} \int_{E_i^c \cap B_R^c} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} + \int_{E_i \cap (B_R \setminus B_t)} \int_{E_i^c \cap B_R^c} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} \\ &\leq \frac{C|G_i \cap B_t|}{s_i(R-t)^{s_i}} + \int_{E_i \cap (B_R \setminus B_t)} \int_{E_i^c \cap (B_{R'} \setminus B_R)} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} + \int_{E_i \cap (B_R \setminus B_t)} \int_{E_i^c \cap B_{R'}^c} \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^{n+s_i}} \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_{R'} \setminus \overline{B}_t) + \frac{C}{s_i} \left( \frac{1}{(R-t)^{s_i}} + \frac{1}{(R'-R)^{s_i}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $R' \in (R, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))$ . Since  $II$  can be estimated in a similar way, we infer

$$\mathcal{J}_{s_i}^2(G_i, B_R) \leq 2\mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_{R'} \setminus \overline{B}_t) + \frac{C}{s_i} \left( \frac{1}{(R-t)^{s_i}} + \frac{1}{(R'-R)^{s_i}} \right),$$

hence,

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^2(G_i, B_R) \leq 2 \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_{R'} \setminus \overline{B}_t).$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n-1}P(E, B_R) &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_R) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, B_R) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(G_i, B_R) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(G_i, B_R) + \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^2(G_i, B_R) \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(F_i, B_R) + 3 \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1-s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_{R'} \setminus \overline{B}_{\rho-\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad + C \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |(E_i \Delta F_i) \cap (B_t \setminus B_\rho)|. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The last term is zero, since  $E = F$  in  $B_t \setminus B_\rho$  and  $|(E_i \Delta E) \cap B_R| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|(F_i \Delta F) \cap B_R| \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Using Proposition 22 from the appendix, and recalling that  $\alpha(\partial B_R) = 0$ , we infer

$$\lim_{R' \downarrow R, \rho \uparrow R, \varepsilon \downarrow 0} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_{R'} \setminus \overline{B}_{\rho-\varepsilon}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(B_{R+\delta} \setminus \overline{B}_{R-\delta}) = 0,$$

and (32) finally yields

$$\omega_{n-1} P(E, B_R) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(F_i, B_R) = \omega_{n-1} P(F, B_R),$$

so  $E$  is a local minimizer of  $P(\cdot, B_R)$ . Choosing  $F = E$  the chain of inequalities in (32) gives

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(E_i, B_R) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - s_i) \mathcal{J}_{s_i}^1(E_i, B_R) = \omega_{n-1} P(E, B_R), \quad (33)$$

as wished. In order to complete the proof we first remark that the above arguments applies to any open set  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  with Lipschitz boundary and  $\alpha(\partial \Omega') = 0$ , upon replacing  $B_R(x)$  by  $\Omega'$ ,  $B_{R+\delta}$  by  $N_\delta(\Omega')$  and  $B_{R-\delta}$  by  $N_{-\delta}(\Omega')$ , where

$$N_\delta(\Omega') := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \Omega') < \delta\}, \quad N_{-\delta}(\Omega') := \{x \in \Omega' : d(x, \partial \Omega') > \delta\} \quad \text{for } \delta > 0 \text{ small.}$$

In particular  $\alpha(\Omega') = P(E, \Omega')$  for every open set  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  with Lipschitz boundary and  $\alpha(\partial \Omega') = 0$ . Since for every  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough the set

$$\{\delta \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) : \alpha(\partial N_\delta(\Omega')) > 0\}$$

is at most countable (remember that  $\alpha$  is super-additive and locally finite), and since both  $\alpha$  and  $P(E, \cdot)$  are *regular* monotone set functions on  $\Omega$ , it is not difficult to show that  $\alpha = P(E, \cdot)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5 Appendix. Some useful results

We list here some results which we used in the previous sections.

**Proposition 15** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set with finite perimeter in  $\Omega$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a polyhedral set  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$(i) \quad |(E \Delta \Pi) \cap \Omega| < \varepsilon,$$

$$(ii) \quad |P(E, \Omega) - P(\Pi, \Omega)| < \varepsilon,$$

$$(iii) \quad P(\Pi, \partial \Omega) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Classical theorems (see for example [1, 7]) imply that there exists a polyhedral set  $\Pi'$  satisfying (i) and (ii). In order to get (iii) first notice that

$$P(\Pi', \partial \Omega) > 0 \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial \Pi' \cap \partial \Omega) > 0,$$

and that the latter condition can be satisfied only if  $\partial\Omega$  contains a piece  $\Sigma$  with  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma) > 0$  contained in a hyperplane and  $\nu_\Omega = \pm\nu_{\Pi'} = \text{const}$  on  $\Sigma$  (here  $\nu_\Omega$  and  $\nu_{\Pi'}$  denote the interior unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\partial\Pi'$  respectively). Since the set

$$\{\nu \in S^{n-1} : \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{x \in \partial\Omega : \nu_\Omega(x) = \nu\}) > 0\}$$

is at most countable, it is easy to see that there exists a rotation  $R \in SO(n)$  close enough to the identity so that the polyhedron  $\Pi := R(\Pi')$  satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii).  $\square$

**Proposition 16** *Let  $u \in BV(\Omega)$  and let  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$  be open. Then we have*

$$\limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \mathcal{F}_s(u, \Omega') \leq n\omega_n \limsup_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|} dx \leq n\omega_n |Du|(\Omega). \quad (34)$$

*Proof.* For  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let us define

$$g(h) = \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|} dx$$

and fix  $L > \limsup_{|h| \rightarrow 0} g(h)$ . Then there exists  $\delta_L > 0$  such that  $\Omega' + h \subset \Omega$  for all  $h \in B_{\delta_L}$  and  $L \geq g(h)$  for  $0 < |h| \leq \delta_L$ . Multiplying by  $|h|^{-n-s+1}$  and integrating with respect to  $h$  on  $B_{\delta_L}$  we obtain

$$\frac{n\omega_n \delta_L^{1-s} L}{1-s} \geq \int_{B_{\delta_L}} \frac{g(h)}{|h|^{n+s-1}} dh = \int_{B_{\delta_L}} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{n+s}} dx dh. \quad (35)$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{(\Omega' \times \Omega') \cap \{|x-y| \leq \delta_L\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy + \int_{(\Omega' \times \Omega') \cap \{|x-y| \geq \delta_L\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\delta_L}} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{n+s}} dx dh + \int_{B_{\delta_L}^c} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{n+s}} dx dh \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\delta_L}} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{n+s}} dx + \frac{2n\omega_n}{s\delta_L^s} \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Putting together (35) and (36) we obtain

$$n\omega_n L \geq \limsup_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\Omega'} \int_{\Omega'} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+s}} dx dy,$$

and for  $L \rightarrow \limsup_{|h| \rightarrow 0} g(h)$  the first inequality in (34). The second one is well-known.  $\square$

## 5.1 Minimality of $H$

**Proposition 17** *For every  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $H$  is the unique minimizer of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, Q)$ , in the sense that  $\mathcal{J}_s(H, Q) \leq \mathcal{J}_s(F, Q)$  for every set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $F \cap Q^c = H \cap Q^c$ , with strict inequality if  $F \neq H$ .*

The proof of Proposition 17 easily follows from a couple of results of [4], which we give here for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 18 (Existence of minimizers)** *Given  $E_0 \subset \Omega^c$  and  $s \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $E \cap \Omega^c = E_0$  and*

$$\inf_{F \cap \Omega^c = E_0} \mathcal{J}_s(F, \Omega) = \mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega). \quad (37)$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the lower semicontinuity of  $\mathcal{J}_s$  with respect to the  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  convergence (a simple consequence of Fatou's lemma) and the coercivity estimate of Proposition 4.  $\square$

In general a set  $E$  satisfying (37) will be called a *minimizer* of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, \Omega)$ . Following the notation of [4], we set  $L(A, B) := \int_A \int_B |x - y|^{-n-s} dx dy$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  measurable. Notice that  $L(A \cup B, C) = L(A, C) + L(B, C)$  if  $|A \cap B| = 0$  and  $L(A, B) = L(B, A)$ . Now we can write

$$\mathcal{J}_s(E, \Omega) = L(E \cap \Omega, E^c) + L(E \cap \Omega^c, E^c \cap \Omega).$$

It is easy to check that a minimizer  $E$  of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, \Omega)$  satisfies

$$L(A, E) \leq L(A, E^c \setminus A) \quad \text{for } A \subset E^c \cap \Omega \quad (38)$$

$$L(A, E^c) \leq L(A, E \setminus A) \quad \text{for } A \subset E \cap \Omega. \quad (39)$$

It suffices indeed to compare  $E$  with  $E \setminus A$  and with  $E \cup A$ .

**Proposition 19 (Comparison principle I)** *Let  $E$  satisfy (38) with  $\Omega = Q$  and assume that  $H \cap Q^c \subset E$ . Then  $H \subset E$  up to a set of measure zero (i.e.  $|H \cap E^c| = 0$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $T(x', x_n) := (x', -x_n)$  denote the reflection across  $\partial H$  and set  $A^- := H \cap E^c$ ,  $A^+ := T(A^-) \cap E^c$ ,  $A := A^- \cup A^+ \subset E^c \cap Q$ ,  $A_1 := A^+ \cup T(A^+)$ ,  $A_2 = A^- \setminus T(A^+)$  and  $F := T(E^c \setminus A) \subset H$ . Then, observing that  $L(B, C) = L(T(B), T(C))$ , from (38) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq L(A, E) - L(A, E^c \setminus A) = L(A, E) - L(T(A), F) = L(A, E) - L(A_1, F) - L(T(A_2), F) \\ &= L(A, E) - L(A, F) + L(A_2, F) - L(T(A_2), F) = L(A, E \setminus F) + L(A_2, F) - L(T(A_2), F) \\ &= L(A_1, E \setminus F) + L(A_2, E \setminus F) + (L(A_2, F) - L(T(A_2), F)). \end{aligned}$$

The first two terms on the right-hand side are clearly positive. We also have  $L(A_2, F) > L(T(A_2), F)$  unless  $|A_2| = 0$ , since for  $y \in F$  and  $x \in A_2 \setminus \partial H$  one has  $|x - y| < |T(x) - y|$ . Therefore the right-hand side must be zero,  $|A_2| = 0$  and either  $|A_1| = 0$  (and the proof is complete), or  $|E \setminus F| = 0$ . In the latter case consider for a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  the translated set  $E_\varepsilon :=$

$E + (0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon)$ , which satisfies (38) in  $Q_\varepsilon := Q + (0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon)$ , hence also in  $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon := Q_\varepsilon \cap T(Q_\varepsilon)$ . Repeating the above procedure for  $E_\varepsilon$  in  $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$  we get  $|A_{2,\varepsilon}| = 0$  ( $A_\varepsilon^-, A_\varepsilon^+$ , etc. are defined as above with respect to the set  $E_\varepsilon$  in the domain  $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ , still reflecting across  $\partial H$ ; we use also the fact since  $H \subset H_\varepsilon := H + (0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon)$ , we have  $H \cap \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon^c \subset E_\varepsilon$ ) and, since  $|E_\varepsilon \setminus F_\varepsilon| = \infty$ ,  $|A_{1,\varepsilon}| = 0$ . This implies at once that  $|A_\varepsilon^-| = 0$  and  $|H \setminus E_\varepsilon| = 0$ . Since this is true for every small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows that  $H \subset E$  (up to a set of measure 0).  $\square$

By a similar argument, the proposition above also holds replacing  $H$  by  $H^c$ . Also, it is easy to see that if  $E$  satisfies (39), then  $E^c$  satisfies (38), hence by applying Proposition 19 to  $E^c$  and  $H^c$  one has the following corollary.

**Proposition 20 (Comparison principle II)** *Let  $E$  satisfy (39) with  $\Omega = Q$  and assume that  $E \cap Q^c \subset H$ . Then  $E \subset H$  up to a set of measure zero (i.e.  $|H^c \cap E| = 0$ ).*

*Proof of Proposition 17.* According to Proposition 18 a minimizer  $E$  of  $\mathcal{J}_s(\cdot, Q)$  with  $E \cap Q^c = H \cap Q^c$  exists. Then  $E$  satisfies both (38) and (39), hence by Propositions 19 and 20 we have  $H \subset E$  and  $E \subset H$  (up to sets of measure 0), i.e.  $E = H$ .  $\square$

## 5.2 Monotone set functions

We report some of the main results of [8], see also [6, Chapter 16] for more general and related results. In the sequel for an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  the set of subsets of  $\Omega$  and by  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , the collection of open and compact subset of  $\Omega$  respectively. We also define

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega) := \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^M Q_i : Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}, M \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is *countable* the set of *open* cubes  $Q_r(x) := x + rQ \Subset \Omega$  with  $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $0 < r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The collections  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  satisfy the following property

$$A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega), K \subset A \Rightarrow \text{there exists } C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \text{ with } K \subset C \Subset A. \quad (40)$$

We say that a set function  $\alpha : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is *monotone* if

$$\alpha(E) \leq \alpha(F) \text{ wherever } E \subset F,$$

and that a monotone set function is *regular* if the following two conditions hold

$$\alpha(A) = \sup\{\alpha(K) : K \subset A, K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)\} \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), \quad (41)$$

$$\alpha(E) = \inf\{\alpha(A) : E \subset A, A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)\} \text{ for any } E \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega). \quad (42)$$

Thanks to (40) it is clear that (41) is equivalent to

$$\alpha(A) = \sup\{\alpha(V) : V \Subset A, V \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)\} = \sup\{\alpha(C) : C \Subset A, C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)\}. \quad (43)$$

We also say that a monotone set function  $\alpha$  is *super-additive* if

$$\alpha(E \cup F) \geq \alpha(E) + \alpha(F), \quad \text{wherever } E, F \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \ E \cap F = \emptyset.$$

We say that a sequence of regular monotone set functions  $\alpha_i$  *weakly converges* to a monotone set function  $\alpha$  if the following two conditions hold:

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(A) \geq \alpha(A) \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), \quad (44)$$

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(K) \leq \alpha(K) \text{ for every } K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega). \quad (45)$$

The limit need not be unique, but it is easy to see that a sequence of regular monotone set functions admits at most one *regular* limit.

**Theorem 21 (De Giorgi-Letta)** *Let  $(\alpha_i)$  be a sequence of regular monotone set functions such that*

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(\Omega') < \infty \quad \text{for every open set } \Omega' \Subset \Omega.$$

*Then there exists a subsequence  $(\alpha_{i'})$  weakly converging to a regular monotone set function  $\alpha$ . Moreover if each  $\alpha_i$  is super-additive on disjoint open sets<sup>1</sup> (and hence on disjoint compact sets), then so is  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Since the proof is standard we only sketch it.

*Step 1.* Being  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  countable, we can easily extract a diagonal subsequence, still denoted by  $(\alpha_i)$  such that,

$$\beta(C) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(C) < \infty \quad \text{for any } C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega).$$

*Step 2.* We define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A) &:= \sup \{ \beta(C) : C \Subset A, \ C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \} \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega), \\ \alpha(E) &:= \inf \{ \alpha(A) : A \supset E, \ A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \} \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly for  $C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  we have  $\alpha(C) \leq \beta(C)$ .

*Step 3.* The set function  $\alpha$  is clearly monotone, and if every  $\alpha_i$  is super-additive on disjoint open sets, then so is  $\alpha$ . It is also easy to see that (44) is satisfied. As for (45), it is an easy consequence of the identity

$$\alpha(K) = \inf \{ \beta(C) : C \supset K, \ C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \}.$$

which follows from (40). Then  $\alpha_i$  converges weakly to  $\alpha$ .

*Step 4.* It remains to prove the regularity of  $\alpha$ . Identity (42) follows by the definition of  $\alpha$ . In order to prove (41) fix any  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ . Then for  $C \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  with  $C \Subset A$ , we have

$$\beta(C) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(C) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(\overline{C}) \leq \alpha(\overline{C}) \leq \alpha(C') \leq \beta(C').$$

From this and the definition of  $\alpha(A)$ , (43) follows at once, hence also (41). □

---

<sup>1</sup>This means that  $\alpha_i(A \cup B) \geq \alpha_i(A) + \alpha_i(B)$  wherever  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  are disjoint.



**Proposition 22** *Let  $(\alpha_i)$  be a sequence of regular monotone set functions weakly converging to a regular monotone set function  $\alpha$ , and let  $K_j \downarrow K$  be a decreasing sequence of compact sets such that  $\alpha(K) = 0$ . Then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(K_j) = 0$$

*Proof.* We have

$$0 = \alpha(K) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(K_j) \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(K_j),$$

where the second equality follows from the regularity of  $\alpha$ . Indeed for  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  with  $A \supset K$ , we have by compactness  $A \supset K_j$  for  $j$  large enough, hence

$$\alpha(A) \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(K_j) \geq \alpha(K) = 0,$$

and the claim follows by taking the infimum over all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  with  $A \supset K$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. AMBROSIO, N. FUSCO, D. PALLARA, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [2] J. BOURGAIN, H. BRÉZIS, P. MIRONESCU, *Another look at Sobolev spaces*, in *Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations* (J. L. Menaldi, E. Rofman and A. Sulem, eds.), IOS Press (2001), 439-455.
- [3] H. BRÉZIS, *How to recognize constant functions. A connection with Sobolev spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **57** (2002), 59-74, transl. in Russian Math. Surveys **57** (2002), 693-708.
- [4] L. CAFFARELLI, J.-M. ROQUEJOFFRE, O. SAVIN, *Non-local minimal surfaces*, preprint (2009).
- [5] L. CAFFARELLI, E. VALDINOCI, *Regularity properties of nonlocal minimal surfaces via limiting arguments*, preprint (2009).
- [6] G. DAL MASO, *An introduction to  $\Gamma$ -convergence*, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [7] E. DE GIORGI, *Nuovi teoremi relativi alle misure  $(r - 1)$ -dimensionali in uno spazio a  $r$  dimensioni*, Ricerche Mat., **4** (1955), 95-113
- [8] E. DE GIORGI, E. LETTA, *Une notion générale de convergence faible pour des fonctions croissantes d'ensemble*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (**4**) (1977), 61-99.
- [9] I. FONSECA, S. MÜLLER, *Quasi-convex integrands and lower semicontinuity in  $L^1$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), 1081-1098.

- [10] E. GIUSTI, *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, Monographs in mathematics, Brickhauser, Basel 1984.
- [11] V. MAZ'YA, T. SHAPOSHNIKOVA, *Erratum to: "On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces"*, J. Funct. Anal. **201** (2003), 298-300.
- [12] A.P. MORSE, *Perfect blankets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **61** (1947), 418-422.
- [13] H-M. NGUYEN, *Further characterizations of Sobolev spaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **10** (2008), 191-229.
- [14] H-M. NGUYEN,  *$\Gamma$ -convergence, Sobolev norms and BV functions*, preprint (2009).
- [15] A. VISINTIN, *Generalized coarea formula and fractal sets*, Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math., **8** (1991), 175-201.